II. The Negotiated Rulemaking Committee

This notice announces the proposed membership of the negotiated rulemaking committee. In making its proposed selections for membership on the negotiated rulemaking committee, HUD’s goal was to establish a committee whose membership reflects a balanced representation of Indian tribes. Selections were based on those nominees who met the eligibility criteria for membership contained in the September 18, 2012, Federal Register notice (77 FR 57544). HUD is satisfied that the proposed membership reflects a geographically diverse cross-section of small, medium, and large Indian tribes. In addition to the tribal members of the committee, there will be one or more HUD representatives on the negotiated rulemaking committee. After careful consideration of the public comments received on this proposed list of committee members, HUD will announce the final composition of the negotiated rulemaking committee in a subsequent Federal Register notice.

HUD proposes to make the following (23) selections for tribal membership on the negotiated rulemaking committee:

- Jason Adams, Executive Director, Salish-Kootenai Housing Authority, Pablo, Montana.
- Annette Bryan, Executive Director, Puyallup Housing Authority, Tacoma, Washington.
- Heather Cloud, Representative, Ho-Chunk Nation, Tomah, Wisconsin.
- Gary Cooper, Executive Director, Cherokee Nation, Tahlequah, Oklahoma.
- Pete Delgado, Executive Director, Tohono O’odham Housing Authority, Sells, Arizona.
- Sami Jo Difuntorum, Executive Director, Siletz Tribal Housing Department, Siletz, Oregon.
- Jason Dollarhide, Second Chief, Peoria Tribe of Indians, Miami, Oklahoma.
- Earl Evans, Councilor, Haliwa-Saponi Tribe, Hollister, North Carolina.
- Karin Lee Foster, Legal Counsel, Yakama Housing Authority, Yakima, Washington.
- Carol Gore, President/CEO, Cook Inlet Housing Authority, Anchorage, Alaska.
- Lafe Haugen, Executive Director, Northern Cheyenne Housing Authority, Lame Deer, Montana.
- Richard Hill, General Manager, Mille Lacs Housing Authority, Onamia, Minnesota.
- Leon Jacobs, Representative, Lumbee Tribe, Pembroke, North Carolina.
- Teri Nutter, Executive Director, Cooper River Basin Regional Housing Authority, Glennallen, Alaska.
- Sam Okakok, Housing Director, Barrow, Alaska.
- Diana Phair, Executive Director, Lummi Nation, Bellingham, Washington.
- Michael Reed, CEO, Cocopah Indian Housing and Development, Somerton, Arizona.
- Jack Sawyers, Special Projects, Paiute Tribe of Utah, Cedar City, Utah.
- Marty Shuravloff, Executive Director, Kodiak Island Housing Authority, Kodiak, Alaska.
- Russell Sossaman, Executive Director, Choctaw Housing Authority, Hugo, Oklahoma.
- Michael Thom, Vice Chair, Karuk Tribe, Happy Camp, California.
- Sharon Vogel, Executive Director, Cheyenne River Housing Authority, Eagle Butte, South Dakota.
- Anega Yazzie, CEO, Navajo Housing Authority, Window Rock, Arizona.

III. Request for Comments and Committee Nominations

Persons may submit comments on HUD’s establishment of the formula negotiating rulemaking committee and may submit additional nominations for committee membership in accordance with the ADDRESSES section above. Nominations for membership on the Committee must include:

1. The name of your nominee and a description of the interests the nominee would represent;
2. Evidence that your nominee is authorized to represent a tribal government, which may include the tribally designated housing entity of a tribe, with the interests the nominee would represent, so long as the tribe provides evidence that it authorizes such representation;
3. A written commitment that the nominee will actively participate in good faith in the development of the rule; and
4. The reasons the persons proposed above do not adequately represent the interests of the person submitting the nomination.

IV. Committee Meetings

At this time, HUD has not finalized the schedule and agenda for the committee meetings. HUD will provide administrative support to the committee. Notice of committee meetings will be published in the Federal Register. Meetings of the negotiated rulemaking committee will be open to the public without advance registration. Public attendance may be limited to the space available. Members of the public will be provided with an opportunity to make statements during the meeting, to the extent that time permits, and to file written statements with the committee for its consideration. In the event that the logistics of the committee meetings are changed, HUD will advise the public through Federal Register notice.

Dated: June 5, 2013.

Sandra B. Henriquez,
Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing.

[PR Doc. 2013–13984 Filed 6–11–13; 8:45 am]
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Negotiated Rulemaking Committee, Negotiator Nominations and Schedule of Committee Meetings—Title IV Federal Student Aid Programs, Gainful Employment in a Recognized Occupation

AGENCY: Office of Postsecondary Education, Department of Education.
ACTION: Intention to establish negotiated rulemaking committee.

SUMMARY: We announce our intention to establish a negotiated rulemaking committee to prepare proposed regulations for the Federal Student Aid programs authorized under title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended, (HEA) (title IV Federal Student Aid programs). The proposed regulations would establish standards for programs that prepare students for gainful employment in a recognized occupation. This committee will include representatives of organizations or groups with interests that are significantly affected by the subject matter of the proposed regulations. We request nominations for individual negotiators who represent key stakeholder constituencies for the issue to be negotiated to serve on the committee and we set a schedule for committee meetings.

The Department continues to review and appreciate and values the testimony offered at the public hearings and the comments submitted through the public comment process regarding other proposed rulemaking topics, including: cash management of funds provided under title IV Federal Student Aid programs; regulations designed to prevent fraud; State authorization for programs offered through distance education or correspondence education; State authorization for foreign locations of institutions located in a State; clock to credit hour conversion; changes made to the campus safety and security reporting requirements in the HEA; the definition of “adverse credit” for borrowers in the Federal Direct PLUS Loan Program; and the Higher Education Reauthorization Act of 2013 to the Federal Student Aid programs; regulations designed to prevent fraud and otherwise ensure proper use of title IV Federal Student Aid program funds, especially within the context of current technologies. In particular, we announced our intent to propose regulations to address the use of debit cards and other banking mechanisms for disbursing title IV Federal Student Aid program funds, and to improve and streamline the campus-based Federal Student Aid programs. We also announced two public hearings at which interested parties could comment on the topics suggested by the Department and suggest additional topics for consideration for action by the negotiated rulemaking committee. Those hearings were held on May 23, 2012, in Phoenix, Arizona, and on May 31, 2012, in Washington, DC. We invited parties to comment and submit topics for consideration in writing as well.

On April 16, 2013, we published a notice in the Federal Register announcing additional topics for consideration for action by the negotiated rulemaking committee. Those additional topics for consideration are: cash management of funds provided under the title IV Federal Student Aid programs; State authorization for programs offered through distance education or correspondence education; State authorization for foreign locations of institutions located in a State; clock to credit hour conversion; gainful employment; changes made by the Violence Against Women Reauthorization Act of 2013, Public Law 113–4, to the campus safety and security reporting requirements in the HEA; and the definition of “adverse credit” for borrowers in the Federal Direct PLUS Loan Program. We announced three public hearings at which interested parties could comment on the new topics suggested by the Department and suggest additional topics for consideration for action by the negotiating committee. On May 13, 2013, we announced in the Federal Register the addition of a fourth hearing. The hearings were held on May 21, 2013, in Washington, DC; May 23, 2013, in Minneapolis, Minnesota; May 30, 2013, in San Francisco, California; and June 4, 2013, in Atlanta, Georgia. We also invited parties unable to attend a public hearing to submit written comments on the additional topics and to submit other topics for consideration. Transcripts from all six public hearings will be found once they are available at http://www2.ed.gov/policy/highered/reg/hearulemaking/2012/index.html. Written comments submitted in response to the May 1, 2012, and April 16, 2013, notices may be viewed through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at www.regulations.gov. Instructions for finding comments are available on the site under “How to Find Comments” and “Search More Regulations” in the Help section. Individuals can enter docket ID ED–2012–OPE–0008 in the search box to locate the appropriate docket.

Regulatory Issues: After consideration of the information received at the regional hearings and the submitted written comments, we have decided to establish, at this time, one negotiating committee to prepare proposed regulations for the title IV Federal Student Aid programs establishing standards for programs that prepare students for gainful employment in a recognized occupation.

We intend to select negotiators for the committee who represent the interests significantly affected by the establishment of standards for programs that prepare students for gainful employment in a recognized occupation. In so doing, we will follow the requirement in section 492(b)(1) of the HEA that the individuals selected must have demonstrated expertise or experience in the relevant subject under negotiation. We will also select
individual negotiators who reflect the diversity among program participants, in accordance with section 492(b)(1) of the HEA. Our goal is to establish a committee that will allow significantly affected parties to be represented while keeping the committee size manageable. The committee may create subgroups on particular aspects of this topic that may involve additional individuals who are not members of the committee. Such individuals who are not selected as members of the committee will be able to attend the meetings, have access to the individuals representing their constituencies, and participate in informal working groups on various issues between the meetings. The committee meetings will be open to the public.

Through the publication of future Federal Register notices in the coming months, we intend to establish committees to address other rulemaking issues.

Constituencies: We have identified the following constituencies as having interests that are significantly affected by the topic proposed for negotiations. The Department plans to seat as negotiators individuals from organizations or groups representing these constituencies:
- Students.
- Legal assistance organizations that represent students.
- Consumer advocacy organizations.
- Financial aid administrators at postsecondary institutions.
- State higher education executive officers.
- State attorneys general and other appropriate State officials.
- Business and industry.
- Institutions of higher education eligible to receive Federal assistance under title III, Parts A, B, and F and title V of the HEA, which include Historically Black Colleges and Universities, Hispanic-Serving Institutions, American Indian Tribally Controlled Colleges and Universities, Alaska Native and Native Hawaiian-Serving Institutions, Predominantly Black Institutions, and other institutions with a substantial enrollment of needy students as defined in title III of the HEA.
- Two-year public institutions of higher education.
- Four-year public institutions of higher education.
- Private, non-profit institutions of higher education.
- Private, for-profit institutions of higher education.
- Regional accrediting agencies.
- National accrediting agencies.
- Specialized accrediting agencies.
- Students as defined in title III of the HEA.
- Predominantly Black Institutions, and other institutions with a substantial enrollment of needy Black Students.
- American Indian Tribally Controlled Colleges and Universities.
- Alaska Native and Native Hawaiian- Controlled Colleges and Universities.
- Hispanic-Serving Institutions.
- Historically Black Colleges and Universities.
- Universities, Predominantly Black Institutions, and other institutions with a substantial enrollment of needy students as defined in title III of the HEA.
- Institutions of higher education eligible to receive Federal assistance under title III, Parts A, B, and F and title V of the HEA, which include Historically Black Colleges and Universities, Hispanic-Serving Institutions, American Indian Tribally Controlled Colleges and Universities, Alaska Native and Native Hawaiian-Serving Institutions, Predominantly Black Institutions, and other institutions with a substantial enrollment of needy students as defined in title III of the HEA.
- Two-year public institutions of higher education.
- Four-year public institutions of higher education.
- Private, non-profit institutions of higher education.
- Private, for-profit institutions of higher education.
- Regional accrediting agencies.
- National accrediting agencies.
- Specialized accrediting agencies.

The goal of the committee is to develop proposed regulations that reflect a final consensus of the committee. Consensus means that there is no dissent by any member of the negotiating committee, including the committee member representing the Department. An individual selected as a negotiator will be expected to represent the interests of his or her organization or group, and participate in the negotiations in a manner consistent with the goal of developing proposed regulations on which the committee will reach consensus. If consensus is reached, all members of the organization or group represented by a negotiator are bound by the consensus and are prohibited from commenting negatively on the resulting proposed regulations. The Department will not consider any such negative comments that are submitted by members of such an organization or group.

Nominations: Nominations should include:
- The name of the nominee, the organization or group the nominee represents, and a description of the interests that the nominee represents.
- Evidence of the nominee’s expertise or experience in the subject to be negotiated.
- Evidence of support from individuals or groups within the constituency that the nominee will represent.
- The nominee’s commitment that he or she will actively participate in good faith in the development of the proposed regulations.
- The nominee’s contact information, including address, phone number, fax number, and email address.


Nominees will be notified whether or not they have been selected as negotiators as soon as the Department’s review process is completed.

Schedule for Negotiations: The committee will meet for two sessions on the following dates:

The meetings will be held at the U.S. Department of Education at: 1990 K Street NW., Eighth Floor Conference Center, Washington, DC 20006.

Electronic Access to This Document: The official version of this document is the document published in the Federal Register. Free Internet access to the official edition of the Federal Register and the Code of Federal Regulations is available via the Federal Digital System at: www.gpo.gov/fdsys. At this site you can view this document, as well as all other documents of the Department published in the Federal Register, in text or Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF). To use PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at the site. You may also access documents of the Department published in the Federal Register by using the article search feature at: www.federalregister.gov. Specifically, through the advanced search feature at this site, you can limit your search to documents published by the Department.


Martha Kanter,
Under Secretary for Education.
[FR Doc. 2013–13975 Filed 6–11–13; 8:45 am]
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Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Utah; Revisions to Utah Administrative Code—Permit: New and Modified Sources

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to partially approve and partially disapprove State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions submitted by the State of Utah on September 15, 2006. The September 15, 2006 revisions contain new, amended and renumbered rules in Utah Administrative Code (UAC) Title R–307 that pertain to the issuance of Utah air quality permits. The September 15, 2006 revisions supersede, in its entirety, and replaces an October 9, 1998 submittal that initially revised provisions in Utah’s air quality permit program. In this action, we are proposing to approve all but four of the SIP revisions in the September 15, 2006 submittal. We are proposing to disapprove the State’s rules, R307–401–7 (Public Notice), R307–401–9(b) and portions of (c) (Small Source Exemption), R307–401–