accommodates the anticipated nighttime filming of a motion picture in the Calumet Harbor safety zone.

Specifically, this zone encompasses all waters of Lake Michigan, Calumet Harbor west of an imaginary line connecting 41°44′29.4″ N, 087°31′33.9″ W and 41°44′21″ N, 087°31′47.12″ W (NAD 83). This zone will be enforced from 9 p.m. until 6 a.m. during filming from September 15 through September 29, 2013. This notice does not impact or change the enforcement schedule published August 20, 2013, for the five safety zones in 33 CFR T09–0676: from 6 a.m. to 9 p.m. on intermittent dates from August 20 through September 30, 2013.

All vessels must obtain permission from the Captain of the Port, Lake Michigan, or his on-scene representative to enter, move within, or exit the safety zone. Vessels and persons granted permission to enter the safety zone shall obey all lawful orders or directions of the Captain of the Port, Lake Michigan, or his designated representative.

This notice is issued under authority of 33 CFR 165.T09–0676 Safety Zone; Motion Picture Production; Chicago, IL and 5 U.S.C. 552(a). Because this notice has been written to accommodate late-night filming in the production of a motion picture, the Coast Guard anticipates that this zone will not be enforced for each night of September 15 until September 29, but only during those nights in which filming occurs. As such, in addition to this notice in the Federal Register, the Coast Guard will provide the maritime community with advance notification of this event via Broadcast Notice to Mariners or Local Notice to Mariners. The Captain of the Port, Lake Michigan, or his on-scene representative may be contacted via VHF Channel 16.


M.W. Sibley,
Captain, U. S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, Lake Michigan.
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BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

34 CFR Chapter VI

[Docket ID ED–2013–OPE–0124]

Negotiated Rulemaking Committee, Negotiator Nominations and Schedule of Committee Meetings—Title IV Federal Student Aid Programs, Violence Against Women Act

AGENCY: Office of Postsecondary Education, Department of Education.

ACTION: Intention to establish negotiated rulemaking committee.

SUMMARY: We announce our intention to establish a negotiated rulemaking committee to prepare proposed regulations to address the changes to the campus safety and security reporting requirements in the Jeanne Clery Disclosure of Campus Security Policy and Campus Crime Statistics Act (Clery Act), made by the Violence Against Women Reauthorization Act of 2013 (VAWA). The committee will include representatives of organizations or groups with interests that are significantly affected by the subject matter of the proposed regulations. We request nominations for individual negotiators who represent key stakeholder constituencies for the issues to be negotiated to serve on the committee, and we set a schedule for committee meetings.

DATES: We must receive your nominations for negotiators to serve on the committee on or before October 21, 2013. The dates, times, and locations of the committee meetings are set out in the Schedule for Negotiations section in the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For information about the content of this notice, include information about the negotiated rulemaking process or the nomination submission process, contact: Wendy Macias, U.S. Department of Education, 1990 K Street NW., room 8017, Washington, DC 20006. Telephone: (202) 502–7526 or by email: wendy.macias@ed.gov.


If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) or text telephone (TTY), call the Federal Relay Service (FRS), toll free, at 1–800–877–8339.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 1, 2012, we published a notice in the Federal Register (77 FR 25658) announcing our intent to establish a negotiated rulemaking committee under section 492 of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended (HEA).

On April 16, 2013, we published a notice in the Federal Register (78 FR 2247), which we corrected on April 30, 2013 (78 FR 22325), announcing additional topics for consideration for action by the negotiated rulemaking committee. The additional topics for consideration were cash management of funds provided under the title IV Federal Student Aid programs, State authorization for programs offered through distance education or correspondence education, State authorization for foreign locations of institutions located in a State, clock to credit hour conversion, gainful employment, changes to the campus safety and security reporting requirements in the Clery Act made by the VAWA (Pub. L. 113–4), and the definition of “adverse credit” for borrowers in the Federal Direct PLUS Loan Program.

We announced three public hearings at which interested parties could comment on the new topics suggested by the Department and suggest additional topics for consideration for action by the negotiating committee. On May 13, 2013, we announced in the Federal Register (78 FR 27880) the addition of a fourth hearing. The hearings were held on May 21, 2013, in Washington, DC; May 23, 2013, in Minneapolis, Minnesota; May 30, 2013, in San Francisco, California; and June 4, 2013, in Atlanta, Georgia. We also invited parties unable to attend a public hearing to submit written comments on the additional topics and to submit other topics for consideration. Transcripts from all six public hearings are available at http://www2.ed.gov/policy/highered/reg/hearulemaking/2012/index.html. Written comments submitted in response to the May 1, 2012, and April 16, 2013, notices may be viewed through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at www.regulations.gov. Instructions for finding comments are available on the site under “How to Use Regulations.gov” in the Help section. Individuals can enter docket ID ED–2012–OPE–0008 in the search box to locate the appropriate docket.

On June 12, 2013, while we continued to review the testimony offered at the public hearings and the comments submitted through the public comment process regarding other proposed rulemaking topics, we announced our intention to establish a negotiated rulemaking committee to prepare proposed regulations to establish standards for programs that prepare students for gainful employment in a recognized occupation (78 FR 35179).
Regulatory Issues: After considering the information received at the regional hearings and the written comments, we have decided to establish an additional negotiating committee to prepare proposed regulations to address the changes made by the VAWA to the campus safety and security reporting requirements in the Clery Act. In addition, we may propose additional changes to clarify and update the existing campus safety and security reporting requirements. We intend to select negotiators for the committee who represent the interests significantly affected by the topics proposed for negotiations. In so doing, we will follow the requirement in section 492(b)(1) of the HEA that the individuals selected must have demonstrated expertise or experience in the relevant subjects under negotiation. We will also select individual negotiators who reflect the diversity among program participants, in accordance with section 492(b)(1) of the HEA. Our goal is to establish a committee that will allow significantly affected parties to be represented while keeping the committee size manageable.

The committee may create subgroups on particular topics that may involve additional individuals who are not members of the committee. Such individuals who are not selected as members of the committee will be able to attend the meetings, have access to the individuals representing their constituencies, and participate in informal working groups on various issues between the meetings. The committee meetings will be open to the public.

While this committee will focus on changes made by the VAWA to the campus safety and security reporting requirements in the Clery Act, the Department continues to review the valuable testimony offered at the public hearings and the comments submitted through the public comment process regarding other proposed rulemaking topics. These include cash management of funds provided under title IV Federal Student Aid programs, regulations designed to prevent fraud, State authorization for programs offered through distance education or correspondence education, State authorization for foreign locations of institutions located in a State, clock to credit hour conversion, the definition of “adverse credit” for borrowers in the Federal Direct PLUS Loan Program; and campus-based Federal Student Aid program reforms. We anticipate announcing our intention to establish a negotiated rulemaking committee to consider some or all of these other proposed rulemaking topics in the coming months.

Constituencies: We have identified the following constituencies as having interests that are significantly affected by the topics proposed for negotiations. The Department plans to seat negotiators individuals from organizations or groups representing these constituencies:

- Students.
- Consumer advocacy organizations.
- Institutions of higher education eligible to receive Federal assistance under title III, Parts A, B, and F and title V of the HEA, which include Historically Black Colleges and Universities, Hispanic-Serving Institutions, American Indian Tribally Controlled Colleges and Universities, Alaska Native and Native Hawaiian-Serving Institutions, Predominantly Black Institutions, and other institutions with a substantial enrollment of needy students as defined in title III of the HEA.
- Two-year public institutions of higher education.
- Four-year public institutions of higher education.
- Private, non-profit institutions of higher education.
- Private, for-profit institutions of higher education.
- Institutional campus public safety officials.
- Institutional student affairs/delay disciplinary divisions.
- Institutional centers for women, lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgendered individuals.
- Institutional attorneys.
- Indian tribal governments.
- Campus safety advocates.

The goal of the committee is to develop proposed regulations that reflect a final consensus of the committee. Consensus means that there is no dissent by any member of the negotiating committee, including the committee member representing the Department. An individual selected as a negotiator will be expected to represent the interests of his or her organization or group and participate in the negotiations in a manner consistent with the goal of developing proposed regulations on which the committee will reach consensus. If consensus is reached, all members of the organization or group represented by a negotiator are bound by the consensus and are prohibited from commenting negatively on the resulting proposed regulations. The Department will not consider any such negative comments on the proposed regulations that are submitted by members of such an organization or group.

Nominations: Nominations should include:

- The name of the nominee, the organization or group the nominee represents, and a description of the interests that the nominee represents.
- Evidence of the nominee’s expertise or experience in the subjects to be negotiated.
- Evidence of support from individuals or groups within the constituency that the nominee will represent.
- The nominee’s commitment that he or she will actively participate in good faith in the development of the proposed regulations.
- The nominee’s contact information, including address, phone number, fax number, and email address.


Nominations will be notified whether or not they have been selected as negotiators as soon as the Department’s review process is completed.

Schedule for Negotiations: The VAWA Committee will meet for three sessions on the following dates:

- Session 1: January 13–14, 2014
- Session 2: February 24–25, 2014
- Session 3: March 31–April 1, 2014

Sessions run from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

The meetings for the committee will be held at the U.S. Department of Education at: 1900 K Street NW. Eighth Floor Conference Center, Washington, DC 20006

The meetings are open to the public.

Accessible Format: Individuals with disabilities can obtain this document in an accessible format (e.g., braille, large print, audiotape, or compact disc) on request to the program contact person.
listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.
Electronic Access to This Document: The official version of this document is the document published in the Federal Register. Free Internet access to the official edition of the Federal Register and the Code of Federal Regulations is available via the Federal Digital System at: www.gpo.gov/fdsys. At this site you can view this document, as well as all other documents of the Department published in the Federal Register, in text or Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF). To use PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at the site.

You may also access documents of the Department published in the Federal Register by using the article search feature at: www.federalregister.gov. Specifically, through the advanced search feature at this site, you can limit your search to documents published by the Department.

Dated: September 13, 2013.
Lynn B. Mahaffie,
Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy, Planning, and Innovation, delegated the authority to perform the functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Postsecondary Education.

[FR Doc. 2013–22868 Filed 9–18–13; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–10–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; State of Colorado Second Ten-Year PM\textsubscript {10} Maintenance Plan for Aspen

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing approval of the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions submitted by the State of Colorado. On May 25, 2011, the Governor of Colorado’s designee submitted to EPA a revised maintenance plan for the Aspen area for the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to 10 microns (PM\textsubscript {10}), which was adopted by the State on December 16, 2010. As required by Clean Air Act (CAA) section 175A(b), this revised maintenance plan addresses maintenance of the PM\textsubscript {10} standard for a second 10-year period beyond the area’s original redesignation to attainment for the PM\textsubscript {10} NAAQS. In addition, EPA is proposing approval of the revised maintenance plan’s 2023 transportation conformity motor vehicle emissions budget for PM\textsubscript {10}. This action is being taken under sections 110 and 175A of the CAA.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before October 21, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket number EPA–R08–OAR–2012–0475, by one of the following methods:
• http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.
• Email: ostigaard.crystal@epa.gov.
• Fax: (303) 312–6064 (please alert the individual listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT if you are faxing comments).
• Mail: Carl Daly, Director, Air Program, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region 8, Mailcode 8P–AR, 1595 Wynkoop Street, Denver, Colorado 80202–1129.

Hand Delivery: Carl Daly, Director, Air Program, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region 8, Mailcode 8P–AR, 1595 Wynkoop Street, Denver, Colorado 80202–1129. Such deliveries are only accepted Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., excluding Federal holidays. Special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Please see the direct final rule, which is located in the Rules section of this Federal Register for detailed instruction on how to submit comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Crystal Ostigaard, Air Program, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8, Mailcode 8P–AR, 1595 Wynkoop Street, Denver, Colorado 80202–1129, (303) 312–6602, ostigaard.crystal@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the Rules section of this Federal Register, EPA is approving the State’s SIP revision through a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial SIP revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the preamble to the direct final rule. If EPA receives no adverse comments, EPA will not take further action on this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, EPA will withdraw the direct final rule and it will not take effect. Then, EPA will address all public comments in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting must do so at this time. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment. See the information provided in the Direct Final action of the same title which is located in the Rules section of this Federal Register.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.
Shaun L. McGrath,
Regional Administrator, Region 8.
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ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Delaware; Attainment Plan for the Philadelphia-Wilmington, Pennsylvania-New Jersey-Delaware Nonattainment Area for the 1997 Annual Fine Particulate Matter Standard

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed Rule; Supplemental.

SUMMARY: EPA is issuing a supplement to its proposed approval of Delaware’s state implementation plan (SIP) published in the Federal Register on November 19, 2012. The SIP revision demonstrates Delaware’s attainment of the 1997 annual fine particulate matter (PM\textsubscript{2.5}) national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS) for the Philadelphia-Wilmington, Pennsylvania-New Jersey-Delaware (PA–NJ–DE) PM\textsubscript{2.5} nonattainment area. This supplemental proposal addresses the potential effects of a January 4, 2013 decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (DC Circuit Court) remanding to EPA two final rules implementing the 1997 PM\textsubscript{2.5} NAAQS on EPA’s proposed action. In addition, EPA is revising its proposed approval of Delaware’s attainment plan for the 1997 annual PM\textsubscript{2.5} NAAQS to not rely upon regulations which were part of the plan submitted by Delaware because they are not necessary to demonstrate attainment. Finally, EPA is proposing to approve the 2009 and 2012 motor